## Rec'd PCT/PTO 13 JAN 2006 PATENT COOPERATION TREATY 10/564597

#### **PCT**

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 18202-023WO1	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/023788	International filing date (day/month/year) 16 July 2004 (16.07.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16 July 2003 (16.07.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant LIGAND PHARMACUETICALS INCORPORATED			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter-	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
			Date of issuance of this report 16 January 2006 (16.01.2006)		
	The International Bure		Authorized officer		
	34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		Athina Nickitas-Etienne		

Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 95

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D	0	7	NOA	2005
W!20				PCT

From the	NIONIAI SEADC	UTNIC AT PPET	ODEEN		W!PO	PCT
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  To: SEIDMAN, STEPHANIE L. FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. 12390 EL CAMINO REAL SAN DIEGO, CA 92130			PCT  ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	mv		
	·		INTERNATIO	MAL SEARCHING AUTHOR	11.1	
					(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	04 NOV 2009	
Applicant	's or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER	ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
45026.141			T. 4	(1-1-11-11-1	This is the Clark Access	
	nal application No	<b>).</b>	International filing date		Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/USO Internation		ication (IPC)	16 July 2004 (16.07.200 or both national classificat		16 July 2003 (16.07,2003)	
		0, 51/16 and U	JS Cl.: 560/008; 562/400,	405		
Applicant						•
LIGAND	PHARMACEUT	ICALS INCO	RPORATED			
1. This	opinion contains i	ndications rel	ating to the following item	ıs:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the	e opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority				
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regar			gard to novelty, inver	tive step and industrial applicability		
	<del></del>					
	Box No. V		tatement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y; citations and explanatio		novelty, inventive step or industrial stement	
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	uments cited			
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For fi	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For fi	urther details, see	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US			3	Authorized office	/ Y	
	Mail Stop PCT, Attr Commissioner for P			Cecilia Tsang	Cally Tsay	
P.O. Box 1450			11			
	Alexandria, Virginia No. (703) 305-32			Telephone No. 70	3-308-1235	
Form PCT/I	SA/237 (cover sh	eet) (January	2004)			•

International application No.

PCT/US04/23788

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
·				
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>				
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).				
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a. type of material				
a sequence listing				
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
in written format				
in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				
4. Additional comments:				
,				
·				
·				

International application No.

PCT/US04/23788

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application
claims Nos. 43
because:
the said international application, or the said claim Nos. 43 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
Claim 43 recites "Error! Reference source found" Apparently the intended claim was deleted. Technically, the said phrase is directed to a nonstatutory subject matter.
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:
the written form has not been furnished
the computer readable form  does not comply with the standard  has not been furnished  does not comply with the standard
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.  See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No.

PCT/US04/23788

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:  paid additional fees  paid additional fees under protest  not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to
pay additional fees.  3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
not complied with for the following reasons:
See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
·
·
·
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
all parts.
the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-42 in part and claims 44-64 in part, wherein veriable Group X is methylene, variable group Y is oxygen and none of the other variable moieties are heterocyclic units, Please note that claims 13, 20, 34, 41, 56 and 63 are not
group Y is oxygen and none of the duct value in the said claims variable Y is defined as a methylene group. Thus, the said claims are excluded from the instant. Examination.

International application No. PCT/US04/23788

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

# 1. Statement Novelty (N) Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO Inventive step (IS) Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1 in part, 3 in part, 22 in part, 24 in part, 44 in part and 46 in part lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by CA 2002:487541 for Beswick et al. Beswick discloses HPPAR delta agonist claimed to be useful in the treatment of diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity cardiovascular disease and other medical conditions. Among the compounds disclose by Beswick is 3-methyl-4-(phenylmethoxy)-benzaldehyde having a registry number 158771-31-4.

Claims 1 in part, 3 in part, 22 in part, 24 in part, 44 in part and 46 in part lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by CA 2002:964313 for Brooks et al. Brooks discloses PPAR agonist and co agonist claimed to be useful in the treatment of diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity cardiovascular disease and other medical conditions. Among the compounds disclose by Brooks is 4-(phenylmethoxy)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-benzaldehyde having a registry number 477980-90-8.

Claims 1 in part, 3 in part, 10-11 in part, 14-19 in part, 22 in part, 24 in part, 44 in part, 46 in part, 53-54 in part and 57-62 in part lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Tajima et al, WO 9911255 (1999).

Tajima discloses HPPAR delta agonist claimed to be useful in the treatment of diabetes, hyperlipidemia, obesity cardiovascular disease and other medical conditions. Among the compounds disclose by Tajima et al are:

- 4-(1-naphthalenylmethoxy)-benzeneacetic acid, having a registry number 125721-57-5
- 4-(2-naphthalenylmethoxy)-benzoic acid, having a registry number 148066-83-5
- 4-(2-naphthalenylmethoxy)-benzene propanoic acid, having a registry number 221261-24-1
- 4-(2-naphthalenylmethoxy)-benzene butanoic acid, having a registry number 221261-99-0 and
- 4-(2-naphthalenylmethoxy)-benzeneacetic acid, having a registry number 221265-57-2.

Claims 1-12 in part, 14-19 in part, 21-33 in part, 35-40 in part, 42 in part, 44-55 in part, 57-62 in part, and 64 in part meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

International application No. PCT/US04/23788

Supplemental Box In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.
V.1. Reasoned Statements:  The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 2 in part, 4-9 in part, 12 in part, 21 in part, 23 in part, 25-30 in part, 33 in part, 45 in part, 45 in part, 55 in part, 64 in part.  The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1 in part, 3 in part, 10-11 in part, 14-19 in part, 22 in part, 24 in part, 31-32 in part, 35-40 in part, 44 in part, 46 in part 53-54 in part, 57-62 in part.  The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 2 in part, 4-9 in part, 12 in part, 21 in part, 23 in part, 25-30 in part, 33 in part, 42 in part, 45 in part, 47-52 in part, 55 in part, 64 in part.  The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1 in part, 3 in part, 10-11 in part, 14-19 in part, 22 in part, 24 in part, 31-32 in part, 35-40 in part, 44 in part, 46 in part, 53-54 in part, 57-62 in part.  The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-12in part, 14-19 in part, 21-33 in part, 35-40 in part, 42 in part, 44-55 in part, 57-62 in part and 64 in part.  The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE